

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,598	09/16/2003	Gerald Winton Lankford	1578.109 3266 (11119-US-PAT)		
54120 RESEARCH I	7590 03/08/2007 N MOTION, LTD		EXAMINER		
102 DECKER CT.			KARIKARI, KWASI		
SUITE 180 IRVING, TX 75062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2617		
GUODEENICO OTATUTO	NA BEDIOD OF BEGDONGE	MAIL DATE	. Dri atte	WHORE	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	03/08/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s) LANKFORD, GERALD WINTON				
		10/663,598					
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kwasi Karikari	2617				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHI0 - Exte afte - If N0 - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Digensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Digension of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variet to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this of				
Status							
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	enuani 2007					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)	,		Secution as to the	o morito io			
٠/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
D : '4		x parte quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	55 O.G. 215.				
	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-11 and 13-20</u> is/are pending in t	* *					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	•	anianibu un des OS II O O O 4404)	(1)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
a)ı		. have been more than					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
				•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	J.				
Attachmeni	· ·	🗖					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/26/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7,9-11 and 13-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant uses "packet date" in claim 1, line 2. Examiner suggests using "packet data" as in claim 13. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7,9-11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1 and 13 the applicant recites the limitations "said respective gateways", however, there are insufficient prior antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims. For examination purposes, the examiner will treat the proceeding claimed limitations in light of applicant's specification. Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7,9-11 and 13-20 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Sanchez Ferreras et al., (U.S. 20050118998 A1), (hereinafter Sanchez) in view of Aerrabotu et al., (U.S. 20040190522 A1), (hereinafter Aerrabotu).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claims 1 and 13,** Sanchez discloses an apparatus for a communication system having multiple portions, said apparatus comprises:

a detector (processor 4 detects and reads all the information that passes through it, see Pars. [0005] and [0045]) adapted to receive values of positional information associated with mobile nodes (mobile network can detect mobile terminals, see Par. [0017]), during operation thereof to communicate by way of network portions (HLR, VLR and gateway 2) in whose coverage area that the mobile nodes, respectively, are positioned said detector configured to form indications of the values of the positional information (processor 4 continuous reads information exchange, see Par. [0025]);

an associator adapted to receive the indications formed by said detector of the values of the positional information (analyzer 6, collects information, analyzes information of a location changes, see Par. [0006 and 0029]), said associator configured to associate position of each of the mobile nodes with corresponding respective network portion (the information are report such that terminals can obtain service while they are in foreign network, see Pars. [0023-25] and [0029]), respectively, through which communication are effectuated, thereby to identify roaming relationship between each of the mobile nodes and the corresponding network portion when the mobile nodes are roaming (foreign networks) and

a storage element coupled to said associator, said storage element configured to store values representative of associations formed by said associator, the values together forming a roaming network table indicating the roaming relationships (the database 7 has information of which subscribers are located in a foreign network,

see Par. [0026] and the database incorporates a log table which could be updated with a each network change, see Pars. [0041-42, 0012 and 0033]), the value forming entries, the mobile nodes identified in terms of their respective home network portion (see Pars. 0029, 0043 and 0047) and individual one of the entries deleted when aged beyond a selected age (subscriber's entry and exit information at the network are periodically eliminated from the log table, and the possibility of a periodic cleaning of data stored in the log, see Pars. [0013 and 0055]; whereby the cleaning and updating are associated with "deleting network entries when aged" and the log is being associated with the "roaming network table"), the roaming network table accessible to identify the roaming relationships identified therein (the database 7 has information of which subscribers are located in a foreign network, see Par. [0026] and the database incorporates a log table which could be updated with a each network change, see Pars. [0012, 0041-42, 0032-36]), usable subsequently to determine roaming capability of selected coverage areas of selected network portions (see Pars. [0036-37 and 0046]).

Sanchez does discloses a roaming network but fails specifically to teach that the network is a <u>packet data network</u> and the network is connected other network <u>by the way of a respective gateway.</u>

However, Aerrabotu teaches a mobile network including an Emergency Packet Data Network (E-PDN) coupled to gateways SGSN and GGSN (see Pars. [0011-12 and 0021-23]; whereby the E-PDN is being associated with the "packet data network" and the SGSN and GGSN are being associated with the "respective gateway").

Art Unit: 2617

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Aerrabotu with Sanchez's system in achieving a system that provides call connection as well as preventing authorized use of the system (see Aerrabou; Par. 0011).

Regarding **claims 2 and 14,** Sanchez further discloses the apparatus of claims 1 and 15 wherein each mobile nodes (mobile terminals, see Par. [0017 and 0025]) has an identifier (subscriber's profile, see Pars. [0021 and 0043]) associated therewith and wherein said detector is further adapted to receive the identifier and for detecting values thereof (processor 4 continuous reads information exchange, see Par. [0025]).

Regarding **claims 3 and 15**, as recited in claims 2 and 14, Sanchez teaches mobile terminals, (see Par. [0017 and 0025]), but fails wherein the radio communication system comprises a cellular radio communication system that provides for GPRS (General Packet Radio Service).

Aerrabotu teaches that the International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) is used as the mobile station identity in GPRS attach procedure when the mobile station does not have a SIM in a packet-switched data domain (see Pars. [0010] and [0014] respectively).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Aerrabotu with Sanchez's system in achieving a system that provides call connection as well as preventing authorized use of the system

(see Aerrabou; Par. 0011).

Regarding **claims 4 and 16**, as recited in claims 3 and 15, Sanchez further teaches the apparatus/method wherein at least the portion of the IMSI number (MSISDN which correspond to the mobile telephone number, see Par. [0043]) includes a Mobile Network Code (MNC) (the country code of the network in which it is located, see Par. [0043]), the Mobile Network Code identifying a home network portion associated with each mobile (mobile terminals, see Par. [0017 and 0025]) node; the home network portion of the multiple network portions (consultation is made at the HLR and VLR when the subscriber enter into a new network, see Par. [0037]).

Regarding claims 5 and 17, as recited in claims 3 and 15 Sanchez further disclose apparatus/method wherein the IMSI number (MSISDN which correspond to the mobile telephone number, see Par. [0043]) includes a Mobile Country Code (MCC) and wherein the at least the portion of the IMSI number of which said detector detects the values comprises the Mobile Country Code; and at least the portion of the IMSI number comprises a mobile country code (the country code of the network in which it is located, see Par. [0043]).

Regarding claims 6 and 9, Sanchez further discloses an apparatus as recited in claims 1 and 15, wherein each node registers with a network portion of the multiple network portions (HLR, VLR and gateway 2) at selected times (location update every time

Art Unit: 2617

mobile terminal changes location, see Page 2, line [0021]) and wherein the positional information detected by said detector is communicated by each mobile node (mobile terminals, see Par. [0017 and 0025]) pursuant to registration with the network part; the roaming network table further includes an indication of a time at which the values representative of the associations are stored at said storage element; and the roaming table further comprises identifying times at which values are entered thereat (table contains date of the last location update, see Pars. [0018, 0020-23, 0043-45 and 0049]).

Regarding **claim 7**, as recited in claim in claim 1, Sanchez teaches mobile terminals (see Par. [0017 and 0025]), but fails to disclose wherein communications of the mobile node are formatted into messages, the messages having header parts, and wherein the positional information detected by said detector is embodied in the header parts of the messages.

Aerrabotu teaches an incoming call IP address for device and the regulating packet flow which is use for Internet messaging subsystem (see Par. [0016])

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Aerrabotu with Sanchez's system in achieving a system that provides call connection as well as preventing authorized use of the system (see Aerrabou; Par. 0011).

Regarding **claim 10**, as recited in claim 9, Sanchez further discloses a roaming network table further includes and indication of time at which the values representative of the

Art Unit: 2617

associations are stored at said storage element, when aged beyond the selected age (subscriber's entry and exit information at the network are periodically eliminated/updated from the log table, see Par. [0055]),

Regarding **claim 11**, as recited in claim 10, Sanchez further discloses wherein said roaming entry deleter deletes values of the roaming network (foreign network) table stored thereat for longer than a selected time period, the selected time period identifying aging beyond the selected age (subscriber's entry and exit information at the network are periodically eliminated from the log table, see Pars. [0013 and 0055]),

Regarding **claim 18**, Sanchez further discloses an apparatus as recited in claims 1 and 15, wherein each node registers with a network portion of the multiple network portions (HLR, VLR and gateway 2) at selected times (location update every time mobile terminal changes location, see Page 2, line [0021]) and wherein the positional information detected by said detector is communicated by each mobile node (mobile terminals, see Par. [0017 and 0025]) pursuant to registration with the network part; the roaming network table further includes an indication of a time at which the values representative of the associations are stored at said storage element; and the roaming table further comprises identifying times at which values are entered thereat (table contains date of the last location update, see Pars. [0018, 0020-23, 0043-45 and 0049]).

Regarding **claim 19**, recited in claim 18, Sanchez further discloses the operations of accessing the roaming network table and determining the roaming relationships indicated therein (see Page 3, lines [0032-0036]).

Regarding **claim 20**, as recited in claim 13, Sanchez further discloses the operation of deleting entries out of the roaming network table once aged beyond the selected age (subscriber's entry and exit information at the network are periodically eliminated from the log table, see Pars. [0013 and 0055]).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Froula (U.S. 6,356,767) teaches a method and apparatus for controlling mobile access to a wireless communication system.

AMIN et al., (U.S. 20020086671 A1) teaches a roaming authorization system

Dufva et al. (U.S. 20040087315 A1) teach a location services interworking with intelligent network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 am - 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kwasi Karikari Patent Examiner.

03/02/2007

JEAN GELIN

PRIMARY EXAMINER